

GOOD SPORTS: CREATING A RESPECTFUL ATMOSPHERE FOR COMPETITION
2011 NIRSA Annual Conference Session Attendee Survey Results
as of June 20, 2011

	ALL		LARGE		MID-SIZE		SMALL		FREE		PAY	
Respondent Information												
Total Respondents	54		13		18		23		34		20	
Average Student Population Size	16,437		32,423		16,812		5,665		14,950		18,965	
Average Number of Teams in Largest IM League	91		202		85		33		75		118	
Free or Fee?												
Total Respondents	54		13		18		23		34		20	
Most IM Events are Free to Participants	34	63.0%	6	46.2%	11	61.1%	17	73.9%	34	100.0%	0	0.0%
Most IM Events Require a Fee to Participate	20	37.0%	7	53.8%	7	38.9%	16	69.6%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Forfeit Deposit or Fine Charged?												
Total Respondents	54		13		18		23		34		20	
Team Must Pay a Refundable Forfeit Deposit Prior to Season	10	18.5%	1	7.7%	3	16.7%	6	26.1%	8	23.5%	2	10.0%
Team is Assessed a Fine When It Forfeits During the Season	19	35.2%	7	53.8%	7	38.9%	5	21.7%	11	32.4%	8	40.0%
No Deposit or Fine (No Financial Penalty) for a Forfeit	25	46.3%	5	38.5%	9	50.0%	11	47.8%	15	44.1%	11	55.0%
Competitive vs Recreational												
Average Score on Scale of 1-10 (1 Rec to 10 Comp)	7.42		7.62		7.75		7.02		7.36		7.50	
Sportsmanship Policy Elements												
Total Respondents	54		13		18		23		34		20	
Currently in Policy - Our Sportsmanship Policy Applies ...												
... to Players	54	100.0%	13	100.0%	18	100.0%	23	100.0%	34	100.0%	20	100.0%
... to Coaches and/or Spectators	45	83.3%	11	84.6%	16	88.9%	18	78.3%	28	82.4%	17	85.0%
... In Game (includes Intermissions)	49	90.7%	13	100.0%	16	88.9%	20	87.0%	31	91.2%	18	90.0%
... Pre-Game	38	70.4%	13	100.0%	14	77.8%	11	47.8%	23	67.6%	15	75.0%
... Post-Game	38	70.4%	13	100.0%	13	72.2%	12	52.2%	24	70.6%	14	70.0%
... At the Game Site	44	81.5%	11	84.6%	14	77.8%	19	82.6%	27	79.4%	17	85.0%
... Throughout the Facility	28	51.9%	6	46.2%	14	77.8%	13	56.5%	15	44.1%	13	65.0%
Currently in Policy or May Add to Policy - Our Policy Applies ...												
... to Players	54	100.0%	13	100.0%	18	100.0%	23	100.0%	34	100.0%	20	100.0%
... to Coaches and/or Spectators	51	94.4%	13	100.0%	16	88.9%	22	95.7%	32	94.1%	19	95.0%
... In Game (includes Intermissions)	54	100.0%	13	100.0%	18	100.0%	23	100.0%	34	100.0%	20	100.0%
... Pre-Game	48	88.9%	13	100.0%	17	94.4%	18	78.3%	29	85.3%	19	95.0%
... Post-Game	46	85.2%	13	100.0%	16	88.9%	17	73.9%	29	85.3%	17	85.0%
... At the Game Site	46	85.2%	11	84.6%	15	83.3%	20	87.0%	28	82.4%	18	90.0%
... Throughout the Facility	37	68.5%	7	53.8%	14	77.8%	16	69.6%	22	64.7%	15	75.0%

	ALL		LARGE		MID-SIZE		SMALL		FREE		PAY	
Sportsmanship Policy Behaviors												
<i>Total Respondents</i>	54		13		18		23		34		20	
Currently in Policy - Our Sportsmanship Policy References ...												
... Unsportsmanlike Penalties	54	100.0%	13	100.0%	18	100.0%	23	100.0%	34	100.0%	20	100.0%
... Physical Abuse	54	100.0%	13	100.0%	18	100.0%	23	100.0%	34	100.0%	20	100.0%
... Verbal Altercations	49	90.7%	12	92.3%	16	88.9%	21	91.3%	31	91.2%	18	90.0%
... Taunting (Trash Talk)	45	83.3%	11	84.6%	16	88.9%	18	78.3%	30	88.2%	15	75.0%
... Captain's Lack of Control	32	59.3%	10	76.9%	11	61.1%	11	47.8%	19	55.9%	13	65.0%
... Use of Vulgar Language	48	88.9%	10	76.9%	16	88.9%	22	95.7%	30	88.2%	18	90.0%
... Complaining to Officials	47	87.0%	11	84.6%	16	88.9%	20	87.0%	31	91.2%	16	80.0%
... Withholding or Falsifying Information	35	64.8%	10	76.9%	12	66.7%	13	56.5%	20	58.8%	15	75.0%
Currently in Policy or May Add to Policy - Our Policy References ...												
... Unsportsmanlike Penalties	54	100.0%	13	100.0%	18	100.0%	23	100.0%	34	100.0%	20	100.0%
... Physical Abuse	54	100.0%	13	100.0%	18	100.0%	23	100.0%	34	100.0%	20	100.0%
... Verbal Altercations	52	96.3%	13	100.0%	16	88.9%	23	100.0%	33	97.1%	19	95.0%
... Taunting (Trash Talk)	52	96.3%	13	100.0%	16	88.9%	23	100.0%	34	100.0%	18	90.0%
... Captain's Lack of Control	46	85.2%	11	84.6%	15	83.3%	20	87.0%	28	82.4%	18	90.0%
... Use of Vulgar Language	51	94.4%	12	92.3%	16	88.9%	23	100.0%	32	94.1%	19	95.0%
... Complaining to Officials	51	94.4%	13	100.0%	16	88.9%	22	95.7%	32	94.1%	19	95.0%
... Withholding or Falsifying Information	43	79.6%	12	92.3%	16	88.9%	15	65.2%	26	76.5%	17	85.0%
Sportsmanship Policy Details												
<i>Total Respondents</i>	51		13		18		20		31		20	
Policy Refers to Positive Behaviors That May Enhance the Team's Rating	17	33.3%	4	30.8%	6	33.3%	7	35.0%	11	35.5%	6	30.0%
Policy Includes Mandatory Markdowns for Unsportsmanlike Penalties	36	70.6%	9	69.2%	12	66.7%	15	75.0%	24	77.4%	12	60.0%
Policy Includes Mandatory Markdowns for Player Ejections	39	76.5%	10	76.9%	14	77.8%	15	75.0%	24	77.4%	15	75.0%
Sportsmanship Ratings: Rainouts, Cancellations, In-Game Stoppages												
<i>Total Respondents</i>	46		12		15		19		28		18	
Teams Receive a Specific Rating for Rainouts or Other Cancelled Games	8	17.4%	3	25.0%	2	13.3%	3	15.8%	6	21.4%	2	11.1%
Teams Receive No Rating for Rainouts or Other Cancelled Games	38	82.6%	9	75.0%	13	86.7%	16	84.2%	22	78.6%	16	88.9%
Teams Receive a Rating Based on Play Prior to Any In-Game Stoppage	21	45.7%	9	75.0%	7	46.7%	5	26.3%	14	50.0%	7	38.9%
Sportsmanship Ratings: Defaults & Forfeits												
<i>Total Respondents</i>	45		12		15		18		28		17	
Winning Teams Receive a Specific Rating for Forfeits or Defaults	29	64.4%	8	66.7%	10	66.7%	11	61.1%	20	71.4%	9	52.9%
Winning Teams Receive No Rating for Forfeits or Defaults	16	35.6%	4	33.3%	5	33.3%	7	38.9%	8	28.6%	8	47.1%
Losing Teams Receive a Specific Rating for Forfeits or Defaults	33	73.3%	9	75.0%	13	86.7%	11	61.1%	20	71.4%	13	76.5%
Losing Teams Receive No Rating for Forfeits or Defaults	12	26.7%	3	25.0%	2	13.3%	7	38.9%	8	28.6%	4	23.5%

	ALL		LARGE		MID-SIZE		SMALL		FREE		PAY	
Rating Benchmarks												
<i>Total Respondents</i>	49		13		18		18		29		20	
The Sportsmanship Rating is a Determining Factor for Playoff Qualification (It Can Solely Eliminate a Team)	42	85.7%	12	92.3%	15	83.3%	15	83.3%	25	86.2%	17	85.0%
The Sportsmanship Rating is a Factor in Playoff Seeding or Playoff Draw Order	24	49.0%	6	46.2%	11	61.1%	7	38.9%	18	62.1%	6	30.0%
After Qualifying for the Postseason, Teams Must Maintain a Particular Rating Average During the Playoffs	33	67.3%	8	61.5%	13	72.2%	12	66.7%	22	75.9%	11	55.0%
We Have a Policy to Deal with Sportsmanship Problems That Result in a Team's Final (Elimination) Game	22	44.9%	7	53.8%	6	33.3%	9	50.0%	12	41.4%	10	50.0%
Appeals & Campus Resources												
<i>Total Respondents</i>	50		13		18		19		30		20	
We Have an Appeals Process for Sportsmanship Ratings	22	44.0%	6	46.2%	8	44.4%	8	42.1%	12	40.0%	10	50.0%
We Have Not Considered an Appeals Process for Sportsmanship Ratings	21	42.0%	7	53.8%	7	38.9%	7	36.8%	11	36.7%	10	50.0%
We Have Opted Not to Have an Appeals Process for Sportsmanship Ratings	7	14.0%	1	7.7%	3	16.7%	3	15.8%	5	16.7%	2	10.0%
We Have Utilized Other Campus Resources When Incidents Have Occurred	13	26.0%	4	30.8%	7	38.9%	2	10.5%	9	30.0%	4	20.0%
Communication & Appreciation												
<i>Total Respondents</i>	54		13		18		23		34		20	
Preseason Captains Meetings are Used to Inform Teams of the Sportsmanship Policy	50	92.6%	13	100.0%	16	88.9%	21	91.3%	31	91.2%	19	95.0%
Staff are Instructed to Review Sportsmanship as Part of the Pregame Captains Meeting	33	61.1%	6	46.2%	13	72.2%	14	60.9%	23	67.6%	10	50.0%
Participants are Informed of Sportsmanship Guidelines Via Methods Outside of Meetings (i.e. Signage)	17	31.5%	2	15.4%	6	33.3%	9	39.1%	10	29.4%	7	35.0%
We Spend a Particular Amount of Time Reviewing the Sportsmanship Guidelines with Staff	30	55.6%	10	76.9%	8	44.4%	12	52.2%	19	55.9%	11	55.0%
We Utilize Scenarios in the Review of Sportsmanship Guidelines with Staff During Training Sessions	20	37.0%	5	38.5%	9	50.0%	6	26.1%	12	35.3%	8	40.0%
We Recognize Teams or Individuals Who Display Exceptionally Good Sportsmanship with a Specific Award	11	20.4%	3	23.1%	4	22.2%	4	17.4%	10	29.4%	1	5.0%
Our Sportsmanship Award is Similar in Nature to Awards Given to Championship Teams	4	7.4%	2	15.4%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	3	8.8%	1	5.0%





